UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,116	03/08/2005	Ronaldus Maria Aarts	NL 020887	9525
	7590 06/17/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		BORROMEO, JUANITO C		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2184	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/527,116	AARTS, RONALDUS MARIA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JUANITO C. BORROMEO	2184		

	JUANITO C. BORROMEO	2184				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ess			
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	201100			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphanes anonamone (i	102 02 1).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Henry W.H. Tsai/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2184						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner believes that Barton discloses "adjusting a presentation rate of the content signal in response to the content indicator". It is clear that Barton does disclose adjusting presentation rate (i.e. fast/slow, reverse and pause) of the content signal. It is also clear that Barton disclose a content indicator (i.e. address, type, time stamp or any data value that indicates content). However, Applicant submits that Barton does not disclose adjusting the presentation rate in response to the content indicator. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Barton's invention allows "viewer to pause the program playback whenever he desires, fast forward through unwanted program material or commercials" (column 1, lines 18-20). Applicant submits that presentation rates are in response to a user signal NOT in response to a content indicator. However, Barton discloses "the program logic or user to crate custom sequence of video output. Any number of video segments can be lined up and combined as if the gram logic or user were using a broadcast studio video mixer." Therefore, Barton implies that the program logic or user can program to adjusting a presentation rate (i.e. fast forward) of the content signal in response to the content indicator (when the content indicator (i.e. address, type, time stamp or any data value that indicates content) indicates, for example, unwanted program material or commercials)..